

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

SANDRA ADAMSON

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff _____

(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, Telephone Number and Email Address)

Craig Thor Kimmel, Esquire
 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
 30 E. Butler Pike
 Ambler, PA 19002
 (215) 540-8888

DEFENDANTS

NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant _____

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

<input type="checkbox"/> 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
<input type="checkbox"/> 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<input type="checkbox"/> 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

Citizen of This State	<input type="checkbox"/> PTF 1	<input type="checkbox"/> DEF 1	Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State	<input type="checkbox"/> PTF 4	<input type="checkbox"/> DEF 4
Citizen of Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State	<input type="checkbox"/> 5	<input type="checkbox"/> 5
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	Foreign Nation	<input type="checkbox"/> 6	<input type="checkbox"/> 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance	<input type="checkbox"/> PERSONAL INJURY	<input type="checkbox"/> PERSONAL INJURY	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment
<input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane	<input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice	<input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug	<input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust
<input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	<input type="checkbox"/> 420 Banks and Banking
<input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument	<input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	<input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws	<input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce
<input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	<input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud	<input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck	<input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation
<input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine	<input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending	<input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs.	<input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
<input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	<input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage	<input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit
<input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	<input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle	<input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV
<input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits	<input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability			<input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service
<input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract	<input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury			<input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange
<input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Products Liability				<input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410
<input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise				<input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	SOCIAL SECURITY	<input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation	<input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting	<input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	<input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1958)	<input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure	<input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 515 Habeas Corpus: General	<input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923)	<input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters
<input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	<input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations	<input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty	<input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DJWW (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land	<input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare	<input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI	<input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act
<input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	<input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights	<input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g))	<input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice
<input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	<input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition		<input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
	<input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights			
			FEDERAL TAX SUITS	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS---Third Party 26 USC 7609	

V. ORIGIN

(Place an "X" in One Box Only)

 1 Original Proceeding 2 Removed from State Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) _____ 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
 15 U.S.C. Section 1692

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Brief description of cause:
 Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION
 UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND \$

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
 JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S)

(See instructions):

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

Explanation:

9-23-10

DATE

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: P.O. Box 90913, Anchorage, AK 99509
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, PA 19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: P.O. Box 90913 Anchorage, AK 99509
(Use Reverse Side For Additional Space)

Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))

Yes No

Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?

Yes No

RELATED CASE, IF ANY:

Case Number: _____ Judge: _____ Date Terminated: _____

Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:

1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes No
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?
Yes No
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual?
Yes No

CIVIL: (Place in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)

A. Federal Question Cases:

1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
2. FELA
3. Jones Act-Personal Injury
4. Antitrust
5. Patent
6. Labor-Management Relations

7. Civil Rights

8. Habeas Corpus

9. Securities Act(s) Cases

10. Social Security Review Cases

11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C § 1692

(Please specify)

B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:

1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. Assault, Defamation
4. Marine Personal Injury
5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. Products Liability
8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. All other Diversity Cases

(Please specify)

ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION

(Check Appropriate Category)

counsel of record do hereby certify:

Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;

Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

DATE: 9-23-10

Craig Ther Kimmel

Attorney-at-Law

57100

Attorney I.D.#

NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above.

DATE: 9-23-10

Craig Ther Kimmel

Attorney-at-Law

57100

Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (6/08)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Sandra Adamson

v.

NCO Financial Systems, Inc.

CIVIL ACTION

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255. ()

(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits. ()

(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2. ()

(d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos. ()

(e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.) ()

(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks. (X)

9-23-10

Date

Craig Thur Kimmel
Attorney-at-law

Sandra Adamson
Attorney for

215-540-8888

Telephone

860-863-1689

FAX Number

Kimmel@creditlaw.com

E-Mail Address

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

SANDRA ADAMSON,)
Plaintiff)
v.)
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.,)
Defendant)
Case No.: **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
JURY TRIAL
(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)**

COMPLAINT

SANDRA ADAMSON ("Plaintiff"), by her attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* ("FDCPA").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states that such actions may be brought and heard before “any appropriate United States district court without regard to the amount in controversy,” and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

1 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the State of
2 Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

3 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b)(1).

4 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and
5 2202.

6

7 PARTIES

8 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Anchorage, Alaska, 99509.

9 7. Plaintiff is a “consumer” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §
10 1692a(3).

11 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate
12 headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.

13 9. Defendant is a “debt collector” as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §
14 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.

15 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members,
16 directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees,
17 representatives, and insurers.

18

19 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

20 11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (“FDCPA”) is a
21 comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with
22 the collection of debts by third parties. See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.* The FDCPA
23 imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and
24 establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides
25 for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the

1 FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid
2 deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and
3 proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of
4 disapproved practices.

5 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices
6 considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking
7 such action. The substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions.
8 First, a “debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of
9 which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection
10 of a debt.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a “debt collector may not use any false,
11 deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection
12 of any debt.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a “debt collector may not use unfair
13 or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.” 15 U.S.C. §
14 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous
15 collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or
16 unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses
17 any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with
18 the collection of a debt.

19 13. In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that
20 “[t]here is abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt
21 collection practices by many debt collectors,” which “contribute to the number of
22 personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of
23 individual privacy.” 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing
24 laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to
25 protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.

1 14. Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer
2 debts by debt collectors. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate
3 abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors
4 who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively
5 disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against
6 debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9 15. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged
10 consumer debt from Plaintiff.

11 16. The alleged debt at issue arose out of transactions, which were
12 primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

13 17. Beginning on or around November 2008 and continuing until July
14 2010, Defendant, its agents, employees, and servants, engaged in debt collection
15 activities seeking payment from Plaintiff.

16 18. Defendant, its employees and servants harassed the Plaintiff by
17 making continuous calls to her cellular phone number.

18 19. Plaintiff received phone calls and voice messages from Defendant on
19 a number of occasions including but not limited to, numerous calls in the months
20 of January 2010, February 2010, March 2010, April 2010, May 2010, June 2010,
21 and July 2010 from the following phone number (800)-597-4549. The undersigned
22 has confirmed that the number belongs to the Defendant.

23 20. Defendant initially began contacting Plaintiff in November 2008 at
24 4:00am in the morning.

1 21. Plaintiff is a travel nurse who uses her cell phone to stay in contact
2 with her family back home. Plaintiff has received so many calls from Defendant at
3 4:00am in the morning that she had to turn her cell phone off, thus resulting in her
4 missing an urgent call from her daughter who was going through a miscarriage.

5 22. At no time does the Defendant inform the Plaintiff about the reason
6 for the telephone calls.

7 23. Plaintiff has checked her credit report, but nothing has been reported
8 as due and owing.

9 24. During one of the Defendant's phone calls Plaintiff tried to inquire the
10 address of Defendant so she could send a cease and desist letter, Defendant hung
11 up on her.

12 25. Plaintiff has called the Defendant back in order to ascertain why the
13 Defendant was calling her and Defendant refused to provide any information.

14 26. Regarding the telephone calls Defendant has been engaging in the
15 same series of behavior as mentioned above for the past two years.

16 27. Plaintiff feels like the Defendant has systematically harassed her for
17 the past two years.

18 28. It has been almost two years after the initial correspondence with
19 Defendant back in November of 2008 and Plaintiff has still not received a letter
20 notifying her of her rights and privileges under the law.

21 29. Defendant has failed to provide any documentation detailing the
22 purchases, payments, interest, and late charges, if any, thereby making it
23 impossible for Plaintiff to determine whether or not she owes the alleged debt and
24 whether the alleged debt was correctly calculated.

1 30. Upon information and belief, Defendant sought to collect a debt from
2 Plaintiff despite the fact that it had no knowledge of its validity.

3 31. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were
4 harassing, and highly deceptive.

5

6 CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

7 32. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. Taylor v. Perrin, Landry,
8 deLaunay & Durand, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes
9 strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector
10 to be entitled to damages." Russell v. Equifax A.R.S., 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996);
11 see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp., 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding
12 unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA);
13 Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).

14 33. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed
15 liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235
16 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts
17 interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d
18 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA)
19 15 U.S.C §1601 *et seq.*, is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in
20 favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).

21 34. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least
22 sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168
23 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3rd Cir. 1991); Swanson v.
24 Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA
25 was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public - that vast multitude

1 which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a
 2 false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced
 3 does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less
 4 experienced.” Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual
 5 purpose in that it ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting,
 6 against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for
 7 bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at
 8 1318.

9

10 **COUNT I**

11 **DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES
 ACT**

12 35. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the
 13 FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

- 14 a. Defendant violated of the FDCPA generally;
- 15 b. Defendant violated § 1692c(a)(1) of the FDCPA when it contacted
 the Plaintiff at an unusual time or place or a time and place known
 or should be known to be inconvenient to the Plaintiff in
 connection with the collection of a debt, specifically in the
 absence of knowledge of circumstances to the contrary, a debt
 collector shall assume that the convenient time for communicating
 with a consumer is after 8 o’clock antemeridian and before 9
 o’clock postmeridian, local time at the consumer’s location;
- 16 c. Defendant violated § 1692d of the FDCPA by harassing Plaintiff

in connection with the collection of an alleged debt;

- d. Defendant violated § 1692d(5) of the FDCPA, when it caused the Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff;
- e. Defendant violated § 1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair and unconscionable means with Plaintiff to collect or attempt to collect a debt;
- f. Defendant violated § 1692g of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of her rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt;
- g. Defendant acted in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failed to comply with the FDCPA.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SANDRA ADAMSON, respectfully pray for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);

- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, SANDRA ADAMSON, demands a jury trial in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

DATED: 9-23-10

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C..

By:

Craig Thor Kimmel
Attorney ID # 57100
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
30 E. Butler Pike
Ambler, PA 19002
Phone: (215) 540-8888
Fax: (215) 540-8817
Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com